	Case 5:07-cv-01118-PVT Document 104 Filed 08/26/09 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION
11	
12	CESAR SANTACRUZ, JAVIER) Case No.: C 07-1118 PVT VALENCIA, et al.,) Case No.: C 08-0996 PVT
13	Plaintiffs, PRETRIAL ORDER
14	v.)
15	FRENCH CONNECTION BAKERY, INC.,)
16 17	et al.,) Defendants.)
18	
19	On August 25, 2009, the parties appeared for a pretrial conference. Based on the parties'
20	pretrial submissions, the discussions at the conference, and the file herein,
21	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the bench trial in this consolidated case is continued to
22	9:00 a.m. on November 9, 2009.
23	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' oral motion to compel Plaintiff Javier
24	Valencia to appear for deposition is <i>conditionally</i> granted. No later than September 1, 2009,
25	Defendants shall file a declaration showing good cause for granting relief from the discovery cutoff
26	to allow this deposition to go forward. Plaintiffs may file a response no later than September 3,
27	2009. Plaintiff Javier Valencia shall be prepared to appear for deposition on September 9, 2009, in
28	the event the court ultimately grants Defendants relief from the discovery cutoff.
	Order, page 1

Case 5:07-cv-01118-PVT Document 104 Filed 08/26/09 Page 2 of 2

Although during the pretrial conference the court initially ordered this deposition to go 1 2 forward, upon further consideration it concludes such an order is only warranted if Defendants make 3 the required showing of good cause for relief from the discovery cutoff. See Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc., 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992) ("The scheduling order 'control[s] the 4 5 subsequent course of the action' unless modified by the court. Fed.R.Civ.P. 16(e). Orders entered 6 before the final pretrial conference may be modified upon a showing of 'good cause,' Fed.R.Civ.P. 7 16(b)..."). 8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, no later than September 15, 2009, Plaintiffs shall notify 9 Defendants of the identity and qualifications of the interpreter they intend to retain to provide 10 interpretation services for them at trial. Any objections to the interpreter must be filed no later than 11 September 22, 2009. 12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, no later than October 2, 2009, the parties shall exchange pre-marked copies of their exhibits. The Plaintiffs shall use numbers to mark their exhibits, and the 13 14 Defendants shall use letters to mark their exhibits. Any objections to any of the exhibits must be 15 filed no later than October 20, 2009. Responses to any such objections must be filed no later than 16 October 27, 2009. 17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are referred to Magistrate Judge Howard Lloyd for a settlement conference, to commence at 9:30 a.m. on September 18, 2009. 18 19 Dated: 8/26/09 20 21 United States Magistrate Judge

22

2324

25

26

27

28